



PROBLEM

Video paragraph captioning (VPC) aims to generate a descriptive multi-sentence caption of an untrimmed video given temporal boundaries. This is a challenging task due to three aspects.

- 1. **Representation**: video has spatial and temporal dimensions, which makes harder than image understanding.
- 2. Coherence: sentence descriptions of events should be logically connected to one another.
- 3. Alignment: visual stimuli should be linked to its text description.

VL ENCODER Multi-moda epresentation fusior

VL Encoder: We modeled the scene with three modalities and their interactions:

- global visual environment: provides the visual semantic information from the entire spatial scene.
- local visual main agents: provides the visual features of the main human agents, who actually contribute to the formation of the event.
- relevant linguistic scene elements: provides additional contextual details of the scene as text-based feature.

Our Multi-modal Representation Fusion (M2RF) module is used to model the interaction of the multiple modalities and generate a representation for an event in the video.

VLTINT: VISUAL-LINGUISTIC TRANSFORMER-IN-TRANSFORMERFOR COHERENT VIDEO PARAGRAPH CAPTIONING

K. Yamazaki, K. Vo, S. Truong, B. Raj, N. Le {kyamazak, khoavoho, sangt, thile}@uark.edu, bhiksha@cs.cmu.edu

CONTRIBUTIONS

We formulate the VPC task with video encoder and caption decoder. Based on the inspection of the previous works, we proposed:

- 1. Novel video representation based on vision and language features and their interactions.
- 2. Novel Transformer-in-Transformer design to simultaneously model intra- and interevent dependencies in an end-to-end fashion producing a coherent paragraph.
- 3. VL contrastive loss function to better align both visual and linguistic information.

TINT DECODER



TinT Decoder: We enhance the unified encoderdecoder transformer with the autoregressive outer transformer to better model inter-sentence relationships and produce coherent paragraph caption.

- inner transformer: taking video features and textual tokens, it produces the sentence description of the event.
- outer transformer: stores the internal video and textual embeddings of the innertransformer and selectively utilizes them according to the current input.

VL loss: The loss function for our model consists of a captioning loss and a contrastive loss. The contrastive loss helps ensure the alignment of the event embedding and the ground truth caption.

Qualitative comparison on ActivityNet Captions between our VLTinT and baselines. Red text indicates the captioning mistakes, purple text indicates repetitive patterns, and blue text indicates some distinct expressions. Overall, VLTinT can generate more descriptive captions with fine-grained details. Compared to baselines, which prone to use high-frequency words for their caption, VLTinT can use expressive but less frequently appearing words, e.g., "guitar" vs. "acostic guitart" in the example.



We use Hybrid Attention Module (HAM) to select salient features from list of features. Above shows the example that we can eliminate trivial agents while keeping the key agents who actually commit the action in the scene. We applied HAM for local visual agent features, linguistic scene elements, and internal embedding of TinT.

RESULTS



RELATIVE FEATURE SELECTION



Future investigations might include further examining linguistic feature in video understanding and exploring the VL Encoder in other video analysis problems. Further application of TinT Decoder in sequential modeling is also an important direction for the future research.







A FUTURE DIRECTION

SOURCE CODE

The source code of this work is available on our

https://github.com/UARK-AICV/VLTinT